hep-th/9907099
NSF-ITP 99-26
HUTP 98/A098
LPTHE 99-26
ITEP-TH 30/99

arXiv:hep-th/9907099v2 10 Sep 1999

Remarks on Covariant Matrix Strings

Laurent Baulieu[1,2], **Céline Laroche**[1,3], **Nikita Nekrasov**[1,4,5,6]

BAULIEU, LAROCHE@LPTHE.JUSSIEU.FR, NIKITA@CURIE.HARVARD.EDU

[1] LPTHE, Universités Paris VI–Paris VII, Boîte 126, 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
[2] TH-Division, CERN, 1211 Genève 23, Switzerland
[3] (From Sept.99) International School for Advanced Studies, Via Beirut 2–4, 34014 Trieste, Italy
[4] Lyman Laboratory for Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, USA
[5] Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia, 117259
[6] Institute for Theoretical Physics, UC Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

We address the issue of the worldsheet and spacetime covariant formulation for matrix strings. The problem is solved in the limit of vanishing string coupling. To go beyond the $g_{\rm s} = 0$ limit, we propose a topological quantum field theory as a twisted candidate. Our model involves the generalized octonionic or $SU(4)$ instanton equations defined in eight dimensions for a *supersymmetric* $U(N)$ Yang–Mills field living on a special holonomy manifold. The question of untwisting this matrix model into an anomaly free theory enlightens the need for an "extended" $2d$–gravity sector, that we suggest could be (partially twisted) $\mathcal{W}$–gravity.

1. Introduction

String dualities predict the existence of an eleven-dimensional quantum theory of gravitation [1]. So far not much is known about this mysterious M–theory. The first indication towards its existence is the fact that type IIA superstring theory can be interpreted as a compactified version of the eleven-dimensional supersymmetric theory with all Kaluza–Klein states present in the form of $D0-$branes. In a certain energy regime, the $D0-$branes themselves are well described by the supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SQM) of $N \times N$ matrices with 16 supercharges.

The proposal of [2], further clarified by [3], and more recently by [4], was to interpret M–theory compactified on a light-like circle with N units of momentum along it as the $U(N)$ case of such SQM. This proposal is extended for compactifications of M–theory on any tori T^d of dimension $0 \leq d \leq 3$, with the SQM replaced by the supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory (SYM) on the dual torus $\widetilde{T^d}$ with the same gauge group $U(N)$.

In particular, the case $d = 1$, expected to be equivalent to type IIA superstrings, produces the (1+1)–dimensional SYM on a cylinder. R. Dijkgraaf, E. and H. Verlinde (DVV) have analyzed this theory in a strong $g_{\rm YM}$ coupling regime (weak string coupling $g_{\rm S}$) and found that one can view this gauge theory as a perturbation of the orbifold conformal theory with target $(\mathbb{R}^8)^N/\mathcal{S}_N$ [5]. The number 8 here is the number of scalar matrices $X^1, \ldots, X^8$ in the gauge multiplet. The permutation group $\mathcal{S}_N$ is the part of the unbroken gauge group $U(N) \to U(1)^N \ltimes \mathcal{S}_N$. This description of the gauge theory contains the second quantized Hilbert space of free string theory, as well as the string interactions in the form of the irrelevant perturbation corresponding to taking the Yang–Mills coupling $g_{\rm YM}$ large but finite.

We wish to address the question of a covariant formulation for this theory of matrix strings. Indeed, in [5], it is formulated as a Green–Schwarz (GS)–like superstring theory, thus lacking covariance with respect to both worldsheet and spacetime symmetries if written in terms of the free fields. Is there a way to define a "covariant matrix strings theory", analogously to that for the $U(1)$ superstrings ? In other words, can one formulate a Neveu–Schwarz–Ramond (NSR) *matrix* superstring, that would exhibit manifest worldsheet and spacetime invariances ?

In the present paper, we discuss several possible steps towards an answer to these questions.

First of all, in the limit $g_{\rm S}=0$ the problem has a simple solution. Namely, consider N copies of the fields entering the $c=0$ conformal field theory of the NSR string in the conformal gauge: one has the bosons X_i^μ, left and right fermions $\psi^\mu_{L,R;i}$, the $2d$ gravity ghosts systems: $b_i, c_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$, with $\mu=0,\ldots,9$, $i=1,\ldots,N$. Take as an action for this theory the sum of N copies of the standard NSR action. We get $c=N\cdot 0=0$ superconformal theory. Now consider the orbifold of this theory by the action of the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_N$ which acts on the index i. The theory obtained has the following properties:

1. When considered on a cylinder, it contains the long strings of [5] in the form of the twisted sectors;
2. The theory has manifest ten–dimensional Lorentz covariance, acting on the index μ;
3. It has $\mathcal{W}$–like chiral algebra, generated by the stress-energy tensor

$$T=\sum_i T_i$$

where $T_i=\partial X_i^\mu \partial X_i^\mu+\psi^\mu_{L;i}\partial\psi^\mu_{L;i}+ghosts\cdots$ and its higher spin analogues (see [6]): $\mathcal{W}_l=\sum_i T_i^l,\quad l=1,2,\ldots,N$. This algebra can be used to show that on the cylinder this theory is equivalent to the GS theory of [5].

The problem is to go beyond $g_{\rm S}=0$. Similarly to the recent attempts of producing the covariant formulation of superstrings in various backgrounds [7], we shall base our model on a certain version of topological strings. We propose a version based on the $SU(4)$ instanton equations [8],[9] dimensionally reduced from eight to two dimensions. The topological field theory (TQFT) that we construct out of these turns out to give a particular case of type **B** topological strings. To enforce its $2d$–covariance, the system with eight matrices obtained in this approach, describing transverse non-abelian degrees of freedom, is coupled to a two–dimensional gravity background (a version of the gauged Landau-Ginzburg topological system, based on the superpotential $W=\frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{abc}{\rm Tr}\phi_a[\phi_b,\phi_c]$ arises). Away from the commuting limit $g_{\rm S}=0$, there is a further complication from the dimension of the Yang–Mills coupling $g_{\rm YM}$. To compensate for it, and maintain conformal invariance still in the context of TQFT, we introduce other fields, of the Wess–Zumino type. This model with eight dimensional target space now serves as a base to our further steps, concerning spacetime covariance.

In the seek for ten–dimensional covariance, we want to approach the system with ten matrices. To this end, we suggest to "supersymmetrize" the latter TQFT: To the dimensionally reduced Yang–Mills field, we add its eight dimensional supersymmetric partners.

In this manner, we end up with the correct number of matrix coordinates for the possible $SO(10)$ covariance, together with additional fermions. The extra fields will effectively decouple by compensation with the corresponding topological ghosts; but we believe that they can be used to produce covariant *heterotic* matrix strings, via a generalization of [10]. We now have all ten coordinates at hand (plus superpartners), part of which describe unphysical degrees of freedom, naturally leading us to the question of anomalies.

In our approach "à la topological", the anomaly issue arises when one attempts to untwist the topological symmetry into spacetime supersymmetry. The idea is that the anomaly induced by untwisting the fields of the matrix strings sector should be canceled by a "countertwist" of the fields from another sector, namely some extension of topological $2d$–gravity [10]. The latter is to be chosen so as to contribute with an anomaly exactly opposite to that stemming from untwisting the matter sector: The $2d$–gravity ghost-systems of the untwisted theory must compensate for the anomaly carried by *matrix* matter fields. In the large $g_{\rm YM}$ coupling limit, the single-valued fields of the matrix strings theory (the so-called long strings) live on an N-sheeted Riemann surface [11], with N the dimension of the Cartan-subalgebra of the Yang–Mills group. This suggests that the suitable matrix extension of $2d$–gravity could arise from the $\mathcal{W}_N$ part of partially untwisted topological $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ gravity, which we propose as a candidate to the "extended" $2d$–gravity sector.

2. Generalized Instantons and two–dimensional Covariance

2.1. Octonionic or $SU(4)$ Instantons

Our starting point towards the various directions advocated in this paper shall be the eight-dimensional theories of [8][9], namely twisted versions of the usual eight-dimensional supersymmetric theory for a Yang–Mills gauge field $\mathcal{A}$, of curvature $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$, living on a special holonomy manifold $\mathcal{M}^8$. Two cases are at hand, according to the type of manifold that one considers. In the case of Spin(7) holonomy, one has octonionic instantons arising from the generalized self-duality equations

$$\mathcal{F}_{i8} \pm \frac{1}{2} c_{ijk}\mathcal{F}_{jk} = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad i,j,\cdots = 1,\ldots,7 \tag{2.1}$$

In the case of $\mathcal{M}^8$ being a Calabi–Yau fourfold (CY_4), it is the $SU(4)$ instantons one deals with, obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}_{z^A \bar{z}^A} = 0, \\ &\mathcal{F}_{z^A z^B} \pm \epsilon_{ABCD}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^C \bar{z}^D} = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad A,B,\cdots = 1,\ldots,4 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We shall focus on the latter, most appropriate for dimensional reduction to two dimensions. Viewing the reduction as the splitting $\mathcal{M}^8 = \mathcal{M}^6 \times \Sigma$ where Σ is two–dimensional and $\mathcal{M}^6$ taken to be small, we are led to split the gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{z^{A=1,\dots,4}}$ as $\phi_a \sim \mathcal{A}_{z^{a=1,\dots,3}}$ and $A_z \sim \mathcal{A}_{z^4}$.

Now, the gauge functions (and thus the Lagrangian that one constructs using them) obtained by simplistic reduction of (2.2) from eight to two dimensions are not covariant in the sense of two–dimensional reparametrisations. This is obviously due to the non-commutativity of the Yang–Mills fields. In order to define a meaningful two–dimensional theory, we propose to couple our instanton equations to a topological gravity background.

2.2. Two-Dimensional Covariant Equations

We will consider our fields propagating on the genus one Riemann surface Σ (complex coordinates $z, \bar{z}$) on which we shall require $2d$–covariance.[1] We introduce the two–dimensional metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in the Beltrami parametrisation, which has the advantage to make left-right factorization manifest in a conformally invariant way [13][14]:

$$ds^2 = e^+_z e^-_{\bar{z}} (dz + \mu^z_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z})(d\bar{z} + \mu^{\bar{z}}_z dz) \tag{2.3}$$

Conformal gauge is restored when one puts the Beltrami differentials $\mu^z_{\bar{z}}$, $\mu^{\bar{z}}_z$ to zero. The symmetries at hand allow to set the conformal factor to 1 (*i.e.* e^+_z, $e^-_{\bar{z}}$ are both set to 1). Enforcing all needed two–dimensional covariances for the non-abelian part of our equations requires the additional introduction of a pair of background left and right Wess–Zumino (W–Z) type fields L and $\bar{L}$, for which we impose that e^L (resp. $e^{\bar{L}}$) have $(1,0)$ (resp. $(0,1)$) conformal weight.[2]

With this minimal set of additional background fields, we can now expect to construct a $2d$–covariant TQFT, based on the following $2d$–consistent dimensional reduction of (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} &\sim F_{z\bar{z}} + e^{L+\bar{L}} \sum_a [\phi^a, \phi^{\bar{a}}] \\
\mathcal{E}^a_{\bar{z}} &\sim D_{\bar{z}} \phi^a + \frac{1}{2} e^{\bar{L}} \varepsilon^{abc} [\phi^{\bar{b}}, \phi^{\bar{c}}] \\
\mathcal{E}^{\bar{a}}_z &\sim D_z \phi^{\bar{a}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{L} \varepsilon^{abc} [\phi^b, \phi^c]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

[1] This surface Σ should not be confused with the string's world-sheet. It is just an interpolating surface, as argued in [12]. Otherwise we would face an overcounting problem.

[2] As will be argued in the following, the L, $\bar{L}$ fields can possibly be made to propagate and play the role of compensators in case a conformal anomaly arises after untwisting the model.

The derivatives above are covariant with respect to both the gauge field $A_z, A_{\bar{z}}$ and the $2d$ gravity. Namely, for the scalars, it reads as follows (whereas for higher order tensors, it has additional terms involving the Christoffel's):

$$D_{\bar{z}}\,\phi^a = [(\partial_{\bar{z}} + A_{\bar{z}}) - \mu^z_{\bar{z}}(\partial_z + A_z)]\phi^a \tag{2.5}$$

2.3. Constructing the TQFT: Twisted Light–Cone NSR Matrix Strings

Making use of the above preliminaries, we can now construct the two–dimensional TQFT, following the paradigm of cohomological field theory and relying on the eight dimensional construction of [8]. To do so, we introduce topological ghosts and ghosts of ghosts for all (dimensionally reduced) components of $\mathcal{A}$. Also needed are the antighosts and Lagrange multipliers adapted to the choice of gauge functions. Altogether, we shall deal with the following set of fields and ghosts, all in adjoint representation of the $U(N)$ gauge group:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & A_{z,\bar{z}} & & & & \\ & \Psi_{z,\bar{z}} & & \chi & & & \\ \varphi & & H & & \bar{\varphi} & ; & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & \phi^a & \\ \Psi^a & & \chi^a_{\bar{z}}, \\ & H^a_{\bar{z}} & \end{array} \qquad ; \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & \phi^{\bar{a}} & \\ \Psi^{\bar{a}} & & \chi^{\bar{a}}_z \\ & H^{\bar{a}}_z & \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

In each of the above BRST–multiplet, the first line is a classical field, the second line is its superpartners (*i.e.* the topological ghosts and antighosts), the third line contains the BRST transform H of the antighost Lagrange multipliers: it is used to enforce the topological gauge functions. In the multiplet for $A_{z,\bar{z}}$ there are, in addition, the bosonic ghosts for ghosts that are responsible for the underlying Yang–Mills symmetries and the associated fermionic Lagrange multiplier η.[3]

The gauge functions imposed to gauge fix the topological symmetry for the Yang–Mills field are those of (2.4). They are organized into three complex equations plus a separate real one, and accordingly for the antighosts $\chi^a_{\bar{z}}$ and χ. The gauge functions that one imposes for the topological ghosts $\Psi_{z,\bar{z}}$, $\Psi^{a,\bar{a}}$ are constructed in a similar manner: they

[3] All fields are $U(N)$ matrices, and the ϕ^a's are all complex. We shall alternatively use the notations $\phi^{\bar{a}} = \bar{\phi}^a = \phi^{a\dagger}$, where bar means complex conjugation. The fields $\bar{\varphi}$ and φ have ghost numbers -2 and 2, respectively and would be more conventionally denoted as $\Phi^{-2} = \bar{\varphi}$ and $\Phi^2 = \varphi$.

are the eight dimensional equations of [8], reduced to two dimensions and further improved to implement $2d$ covariance:

$$\mathcal{E}_\Psi \sim D_z \Psi_{\bar{z}} + e^{L+\bar{L}}[\phi^a, \Psi^{\bar{a}}] + \dots \tag{2.7}$$

In a naive formulation, L, $\bar{L}$ and the Beltrami's $\mu^z_{\bar{z}}$, $\mu^{\bar{z}}_z$ are set to zero so that the resulting action is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\ \mathrm{Tr}\ s\Big\{ & \chi^a_{\bar{z}} \left(\mathcal{E}^{\bar{a}}_z - \frac{1}{2}\ g^2_{\mathrm{YM}} H^{\bar{a}}_z \right) + \chi^{\bar{a}}_z \left(\mathcal{E}^a_{\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}\ g^2_{\mathrm{YM}} H^a_{\bar{z}} \right) \\ & - \ \frac{1}{2}\ \chi \left(\mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{2} g^2_{\mathrm{YM}} H \right) - \frac{1}{2}\ \bar{c} \left(\partial^\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} g^2_{\mathrm{YM}} b \right) \ + \frac{1}{2g^2_{\mathrm{YM}}}\ \bar{\varphi}\ \mathcal{E}_\Psi \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the BRST operator s only acts on the fields of the matter sector and not on the $2d$–gravity background. (The precise form of the operator s on our various fields can be straightforwardly obtained from that of the eight dimensional operator in [8].) This action can be interpreted as a twisted version of the light-cone DVV matrix strings, with manifest invariance under the eight–dimensional rotations, via the following correspondence [10] for bosons:

$$\phi^a,\ \bar{\phi}^a,\ \varphi,\ \bar{\varphi}\ \ \rightarrow\ \ X^{m=1\cdots 8}, \tag{2.9}$$

and fermions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi^a,\ \chi^{\bar{a}},\ \eta + \chi,\ \Psi_z\ \ \rightarrow\ \ \psi^m_-, \\ & \Psi_{\bar{a}},\ \chi_a,\ \eta - \chi,\ \Psi_{\bar{z}}\ \rightarrow\ \ \psi^m_+. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

3. Spacetime Covariance

3.1. Supersymmetrization and ten–dimensional NSR Fields

Clearly, the field content of the construction above cannot allow invariance under the ten–dimensional group of rotations. The bosonic sector is based on eightuples of matrices whereas ten-dimensional invariance would imply two more matrices, describing the longitudinal degrees of freedom. We propose to get these by replacing the original set of fields —the dimensionally reduced field content of the eight dimensional Yang–Mills TQFT— by that of the *supersymmetrization* of the same theory.

This means that we shall construct the TQFT for the set of fundamental fields enlarged to the degrees of freedom of the full $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$, $d = 8$ Yang–Mills supermultiplet $(\mathcal{A}^\mu, \lambda^\alpha, \phi^\pm)$, where $\mu = 1\cdots 8$ and $\alpha = 1\cdots 16$. All fields are still $U(N)$ matrices, and

$\phi^+ = (\phi^-)^\dagger$. Building the TQFT for these additional pieces implies the following additional "topological partners":

$$\Psi^\pm \begin{matrix} \phi^\pm \\ \\ H^\pm \end{matrix} \quad \chi^\pm \quad ; \quad \Lambda^\alpha \begin{matrix} \lambda^\alpha \\ \\ H_\lambda \end{matrix} \quad \chi_\lambda \tag{3.1}$$

We now have ten bosonic matrices to play with: $X^{M=1\cdots 10} \leftarrow \{X^m, \phi^\pm\}$, as well as ten left moving fermions $\psi_-^M \leftarrow \{\psi_-^m, \Psi^+, \chi^-\}$ and as many right movers $\psi_+^M \leftarrow \{\psi_+^m, \Psi^-, \chi^+\}$. Another gain is that we end up with extra fermions from λ^α, which can either be decoupled or, more interestingly, be used to produce a *heterotic* matrix string in the same spirit as that of [10].

3.2. Does the "supersymmetrized" TQFT exhibit manifest $SO(10)$ invariance ?

The additional part of the TQFT for the supersymmetric partners is constructed as before, namely by gauging their topological symmetry.

For the twisted gaugino λ^α, topological freedom and the requirement of quantum propagation imply that we introduce a chirality choice; we choose the right moving condition:

$$\mathcal{D}_z \lambda^\alpha \tag{3.2}$$

with $\mathcal{D}$ the dimensionally reduced eight dimensional Dirac operator.

For the gauge functions of the scalars $\phi^\pm$, we choose holomorphicity condition:

$$D_{\bar{z}} \phi^+ \quad , \quad D_z \phi^-. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that it follows from the discussions in [15] that there exists no ten–dimensional analogue of the TQFT of [8][9]. We could therefore have expected, already from the eight dimensional point of view, that imposing gauge functions for the $\phi^\pm$'s would make it unavoidable to define the supersymmetrized TQFT on a two-dimensional manifold.

In the $U(1)$ case, our set of fields can easily be interpreted (with the scheme indicated above) as ten-dimensional twisted NSR or heterotic superstring fields, in the same manner as in [10]. However, the question arises whether this remains true in the non-commuting case. We have expanded the action defined by the above gauge fixings. Unfortunately, examining the bosonic part shows that the commutator terms break the $SO(10)$ invariance down to $SO(8) \times SO(2)$. We haven't yet found a possible modification of our gauge functions that would enable $SO(10)$ invariance, but we suspect that there might exist deep reasons for our difficulties besides the mere lack of imagination.

4. Topological Gravity Side and Anomaly Cancellation

In the seek of a covariant description, arises the necessity of having some gauge symmetry allowing to eliminate the longitudinal components $\phi^{\pm}$ and their supersymmetric partners. In the ordinary superstring, this symmetry is the worldsheet reparametrisation and local supersymmetry invariance. The unphysical coordinates are canceled by the corresponding (b, c), (β, γ) ghost systems (more subtle compensations occur for the construction of the heterotic string). Here, we need the symmetry with functional dimension $2(N^2 - 1)$ for it to be capable of eliminating the two longitudinal $U(N)$ matrices $\phi^{\pm}$, and its generalization for supersymmetric partners. The natural choice would be some "matrix-valued diffeomorphisms", if one can make sense of that.

4.1. Need of some "Extended" two–dimensional Gravity

We can reformulate this from the "twisted point of view": Our twisted matrix string theory being topological by construction, has no local anomaly. Nor can its coupling to $2d$–gravity introduce any anomaly: The $2d$–gravity must also be of a topological type. The aim then, is to construct a theory which, after untwisting, has the Ward identities of a superconformal theory. We are therefore lead to couple our gauge functions to a matrix extension of the topological $2d$–gravity used for $U(1)$ strings, which can hopefully be untwisted in a way such to compensate for the anomaly induced by untwisting the topological matrix string side.

Notice that the Beltrami and W–Z fields occurring in (2.8) are now to be understood as background values obtained by gauge-fixing the quantum fields of this topological $2d$–gravity. Therefore, having matrix ghosts is in no contradiction with an apparently simple $2d$–gravity background: gauge fixing is purely local. We have not attempted to understand the moduli which should be introduced in this theory, and leave unstudied the global aspects.

4.2. Matrix Extension and Anomaly Cancellation

Let us now discuss the anomaly question in more details. Our model being topological in nature, it has a vanishing conformal anomaly when all fields have the spins described above, prior to twist. It is crucial to understand whether it is justified to map our twisted fields to physical ones, where matrices ϕ^M have weight zero, fermions have spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and so on. Indeed, in the course of doing so, we expect to generate a super-conformal anomaly

from the set of $U(N)$ matter fields. In the ordinary string case, the anomaly from the matter sector is compensated by the anomaly from the $(b,\, c)$ and $(\beta,\, \gamma)$ systems of the twisted gravity side. Here, the matter anomaly is that of $U(N)$ matrix fields, and an extended gravity multiplet is needed to cancel this anomaly.

In the IR limit, the proper (single-valued) matrix string fields, interpreted as those for long strings, are defined on an N–sheeted Riemann surface [5][11]. We suggest to introduce some $N \times N$ matrix $2d$–gravity, with the diagonal $(e^{\pm}_{z,\bar{z}})_{ii}$ of the $N \times N$ zweibein describing gravity on the i'th sheet, whereas the off-diagonal $(e^{\pm}_{z,\bar{z}})_{ij}$ would specify how the i'th and j'th sheets "feel" each other. Similarly for the associated $(b,\, c)$ and $(\beta,\, \gamma)$ matrix ghosts, corresponding to having the symmetry of N independent reparametrisations for each sheet. The ordinary string has, as a gauge symmetry, the (super)diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$ times conformal rescalings of the world-sheet metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$. In particular the first quantized string amplitude is of the form

$$Z_1 \sim \frac{1}{\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)} \int [\mathcal{D}X][\mathcal{D}h_{\alpha\beta}] \quad e^{-S(X,h)}.$$

In a second-quantized picture we expect amplitudes like:

$$\frac{(Z_1)^N}{N!} \sim \frac{1}{\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma \amalg \ldots \amalg \Sigma)} \int [\mathcal{D}X_1] \ldots [\mathcal{D}X_N][\mathcal{D}h_{\alpha\beta}{}^1] \ldots [\mathcal{D}h_{\alpha\beta}{}^N] \quad e^{-\sum_i S(X_i,h^i)}$$

The group of diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma \amalg \ldots \amalg \Sigma)$ contains, in addition to the N independent reparametrisations, the permutations which produce the factor of $(N!)$.

In this picture, one understands the background values of $(e^{\pm})_{ij}$, used in the gauge functions (2.4)–(2.7), as a diagonal background with all eigenvalues equal, that is all N sheets of the multi-folded surface being non-interacting, flat sheets. Then clearly, when all matrices commute (matter *and* gravity fields), one can reasonably expect a cancellation of the anomaly: It should be proportional to N both in the matter and gravity ghosts sector. Ideally, in this case, we would set the W–Z type fields L, $\bar{L}$ to trivial backgrounds. But if an anomaly was to subsist after untwisting both sectors (matrix matter *and* gravity), we would naturally use L, $\bar{L}$ as W–Z fields, to compensate for the "residual" anomaly. We can make them propagate by adding a sector in the TQFT and impose the gauge functions:

$$(\partial_{\bar{z}} - \mu^z_{\bar{z}} \partial_z) L = \partial_z \mu^z_{\bar{z}} \tag{4.1}$$

Such constraints have been used in [16], for the sake of disentangling left-right asymmetric anomalies. Imposing them as the gauge functions of a TQFT should produce a twisted

super-Liouville action for the $L, \bar{L}$ multiplets that we expect to decouple in the limit of commuting matrices.

Now, the interesting question is whether one can promote the independent sheets' reparametrisations into a unified matrix structure, in a way such to also ensure anomaly cancellation *away* from the IR fixed point. We propose evidence that these ghost systems might arise from the $\mathcal{W}_{N+1}$ of $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ partially twisted gravity.

4.3. $\mathcal{W}$–Gravity proposal

$\mathcal{W}_n$–algebras have $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (or $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$) internal symmetry [17][18][19][20]. They can be ghostified, and produce a $2d$–gravity structure with a duplication of Beltrami differentials and reparametrisation ghosts. Formally, one can consider their topological extensions, and reach a similar ghost action to that of ordinary topological $2d$–gravity. By adjusting the value of n, one could possibly get a contribution to the conformal anomaly opposite to that of the matrix superstring. For finite n, technical difficulties arise from the fact that $\mathcal{W}_n$–algebras are not really Lie algebras and need non linear terms to close. However, these problems disappear for large values of n: $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ is an algebra, for which one can generalize quite precisely the notion of Beltrami differentials [21].

Consider N copies of the bosonic string actions in light-cone gauge:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{\bar{z}} x_i^\mu \partial_z x_i^\mu + h_i^{zz} \partial_z x_i^\mu \partial_z x_i^\mu \tag{4.2}$$

Here we allow for a different metric h_i^{ab} for each different i, since the Virasoro constraints $T_{zz,i} = 0$ is to be imposed for each i separately. The way to do so while preserving the unbroken gauge group $\mathcal{S}_N$ is to form the $\mathcal{W}$–like "currents" [6]

$$\mathcal{W}_l = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T^l_{zz,i}$$

where $l = 1, \ldots, N$ and gauge the algebra which is generated by them.

This way, we get N "ghosts" c_i of increasing conformal dimension $(-2i, 0)$. In a supersymmetric context these are accompanied by the bosonic ghosts of dimensions $(\frac{1}{2} - 2i, 0)$. Their whole contribution to the conformal anomaly grows as N^2 for large N, which is a good indication since we start with N^2 degrees of freedom in the matter sector.

5. Further Remarks and Concluding Comments

5.1. Six Dimensional Matrix String Theories

We could also wonder about a similar construction with the four dimensional topological Yang–Mills theory of [22] as a starting point. Its reduction to two dimensions, already considered in [23], gives a theory that one can interpret as a (non-critical) six dimensional *matrix* superstring theory in the light-cone gauge (*cf.* little string theories [24],[25]). With the same chain of arguments as above, the TQFT defined by supersymmetrization would give its covariant formulation. All of our formulae are most simply modified to the four dimensional case: Complex indices should now run from 1 to 2 rather than 3, and the octonionic structure constants should be replaced by those for quaternions.

The interpretation of this $2d$–theory goes beyond the level of ordinary superstrings since usual perturbative arguments obviously indicate that it cannot be anomaly free. However, this might not be so bad a problem: Although the six-dimensional theory is perturbatively anomalous, it could be given a sense within the non-perturbative version of string theory, where one can expect an anomaly inflow from the bulk, that could take care of the non-conservation of the BRST–current.

5.2. Matrix Theory

As an aside, we would like to stress out that the octonionic or $SU(4)$ instanton equations also define a twisted version of the matrix theory of [2]. Indeed, after dimensional reduction to zero dimension, one can use the technique of [26] and "oxidize" to the theories in one, two or higher dimensions (the theories in [27][28] are obtained in this way; see also [29]). In zero dimensions, the action is a sum of squares of commutators. From the positivity of the Casimirs in the topological gauge functions, this action is minimized if and only if each one of the commutators vanishes. One then has a matrix theory for the Cartan subalgebra of the group. This theory has been studied in [30][31][32], and the mass deformation in this case is quite useful in analyzing the Witten index [32].

With this twisted picture, the various compactifications (reductions) of M–theory on tori T^d would then correspond to lifting-ups (oxidations) of the "topological matrix theory" to dual tori $\tilde{T^d}$.

Moreover, a new feature arises, special to the one dimensional theories: When using the octonionic equations to define some supersymmetric quantum mechanics, one has the possibility of adding a mass term through

$$D_0\phi^i + \frac{1}{2}c^i_{jk}[\phi^j,\phi^k] - \mu\phi^i \tag{5.1}$$

where the seven scalars $\phi^{i=1\cdots7}$ are organized in terms of the fundamental of Spin(7). This raises opportunities for supersymmetry breaking.

5.3. Conclusion

The covariant formulation of the orbifold theory of DVV, if it can be reached, should produce a covariant formulation of the second quantized free string theory. Once the worldsheet covariance is achieved, the theory has worldsheet Σ with arbitrary $b_0(\Sigma)$ and $b_1(\Sigma)$. Once the formulation is obtained for the $g_{\rm S} = 0$ limit it is legitimate to ask for the extension to non zero $g_{\rm S}$, i.e. finite $g_{\rm YM}$.

In the seek of a covariant formulation, one could have proceeded along the lines of DVV and presented a ghost-dressed version of the vertex operators constructed in [5] for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twists (and higher order twists as well). Adding these operators to the action produces the transitions which change the topology of the worldsheet Σ. However, since arbitrary (orientable) topology has already been allowed by covariance, one gets essentially the same theory. One consequence out of this redundancy is the possibility to restrict oneself to genus zero, as was suggested a long time ago by V. Knizhnik in [33]. We have not explored this issue in this paper.

Instead, we have tried to find the matrix formulation for the covariant theory at finite $g_{\rm YM}$, and have suggested possible formulations of the matrix string theory. Unfortunately, for non vanishing $g_{\rm S}$, the ones we have worked out so far only make manifest $SO(8) \times SO(2)$ rather than the ten–dimensional Poincaré symmetry. Still, we believe that this approach is worth further study and could provide hints to the search of the covariant formulation of M–theory itself.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to A. Losev, A. Schwarz, S. Shatashvili, P. Vanhove for discussions and to T. Banks, M. Douglas and S. Shenker for encouragement. The research of N. Nekrasov was supported by Harvard Society of Fellows, partially by NSF under the grants PHY-94-07194, PHY-98-02709, partially by РФФИ under grant 96-02-18046 and partially by grant 96-15-96455 for scientific schools.

References

[1] P. Townsend, *The Eleven Dimensional Supermembrane Revisited*, Phys. Lett. **B350** (1995) 184, hep-th/9501068;
E. Witten, *String Theory Dynamics in Various Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85, hep-th/9503124.

[2] T. Banks, W.Fischler, S.H. Shenker, L. Susskind, *M-Theory as a Matrix Model: A Conjecture*, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043.

[3] N. Seiberg, *Why is the Matrix Model Correct?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 3577, hep-th/9710009;
A. Sen, *D0 Branes on T^n and Matrix Theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 51, hep-th/9709220.

[4] J. Polchinski, *M-Theory and the Light-Cone*, hep-th/9903165.

[5] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, *Matrix String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B500** (1997) 43, hep-th/9703030.

[6] C. Vafa, *Puzzles at Large N*, hep-th/9804172.

[7] N. Berkovits, *Quantization of the Superstring with Manifest U(5) Super-Poincare Invariance*, hep-th/9902099.

[8] L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, I. Singer, *Special Quantum Field Theories in Eight and Other Dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **194** (1998) 149, hep-th/9704167;
L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, I. Singer, *Cohomological Yang–Mills Theory in Eight Dimensions*, Talk given at APCTP Winter School on Dualities in String Theory (Sokcho, Korea, February 24-28, 1997), hep-th/9705127.

[9] B.S. Acharya, M. O'Loughlin, B. Spence, *Higher Dimensional Analogues of Donaldson–Witten Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B503** (1997) 657, hep-th/9705138;
B.S. Acharya, J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, M. O'Loughlin, B. Spence, *Euclidean D-branes and Higher-Dimensional Gauge Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B514** (1998) 583, hep-th/9707118.

[10] L. Baulieu, M.B. Green, E. Rabinovici, *A Unifying Topological Action for Heterotic and Type II Superstring Theories*, Phys. Lett. **B386** (1996) 91, hep-th/9606080 ;
L. Baulieu, M.B. Green, E. Rabinovici, *Superstrings from Theories with $N > 1$ World Sheet Supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 119, hep-th/9611136.

[11] T. Wynter, *Gauge Fields and Interactions in Matrix String Theory*, Phys. Lett. **B415** (1997) 349, hep-th/9709029.

[12] G. Bonelli, L. Bonora, F. Nesti, *String Interactions from Matrix String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B538** (1999) 100, hep-th/9807232;

G. Bonelli, L. Bonora, F. Nesti, A. Tomasiello, *Matrix String Theory and its Moduli Space*, hep-th/9901093.

[13] L. Baulieu, M. Bellon, *Beltrami Parametrization and String Theory*, Phys. Lett. **B196** (1987) 142 ;
L. Baulieu, M. Bellon, R. Grimm, *Beltrami Parametrization For Superstrings*, Phys. Lett. **B198** (1987) 343 ;
R. Grimm, *Left-Right Decomposition of Two-Dimensional Superspace Geometry and Its BRS Structure*, Annals Phys. **200** (1990) 49.

[14] H. Nicolai, *New Linear Systems for 2D Poincaré Supergravities*, Nucl. Phys. **B414** (1994) 299, hep-th/9309052.

[15] L. Baulieu, C. Laroche, *On Generalized Self-Duality Equations Towards Supersymmetric Quantum Field Theories Of Forms*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A13** (1998) 1115, hep-th/9801014.

[16] L. Baulieu, M. Bellon, R. Grimm, *Left-Right Asymmetric Conformal Anomalies*, Phys. Lett. **B228** (1989) 325.

[17] I. Bakas, *The Large N Limit of Extended Conformal Symmetries*, Phys. Lett. **B228** (1989) 57.

[18] C.M. Hull, *Lectures on $\mathcal{W}$-Gravity, $\mathcal{W}$-Geometry and $\mathcal{W}$-Strings*, hep-th/9302110, and references therein.

[19] A. Bilal, V. Fock, I. Kogan, *On the origin of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 635.

[20] E. Witten, *Surprises with Topological Field Theories*, Lectures given at "Strings 90", Texas A&M, 1990, Preprint IASSNS-HEP-90/37.

[21] L. Baulieu, M. Bellon, R. Grimm, *Some Remarks on the Gauging of the Virasoro and $w_{1+\infty}$ Algebras*, Phys. Lett. **B260** (1991) 63.

[22] E. Witten, *Topological Quantum Field Theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **117** (1988) 353 ;
L. Baulieu, I.M. Singer, *Topological Yang–Mills Symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **15B** (1988) 12.

[23] L. Baulieu, *Chern-Simons Three-Dimensional and Yang-Mills-Higgs Two-Dimensional Systems as Four-Dimensional Topological Quantum Field Theories*, Phys. Lett. **B232** (1989) 473.

[24] M. Berkooz, M. Rozali, N. Seiberg, *Matrix Description of M–theory on T4 and T5*, Phys. Lett. **B408** (1997) 105, hep-th/9704089;
N. Seiberg, *Matrix Description of M–theory on T5 and T5/Z2*, Phys. Lett. **B408** (1997) 98, hep-th/9705221.

[25] A. Losev, G. Moore, S. Shatashvili, *M & m's*, Nucl. Phys. **B522** (1998) 105, hep-th/9707250.

[26] L. Baulieu, A. Losev, N. Nekrasov, *Chern-Simons and Twisted Supersymmetry in Higher Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B522** (1998) 82, hep-th/9707174.

[27] F. Sugino,*Cohomological Field Theory Approach to Matrix Strings*, hep-th/9904122.

[28] S. Hirano, M. Kato, *Topological Matrix Model*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **98** (1997) 1371 hep-th/9708039.

[29] V. Periwal, *The Topology of Events*, hep-th/9803150.

[30] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, A. Tsuchiya, *A Large N Reduced Model as Superstring*, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 467, hep-th/9612115.

[31] S. Sethi, M. Stern, *D-Brane Bound States Redux*, Comm. Math. Phys. **194** (1998) 675, hep-th/9705046.

[32] G. Moore, N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *D-particle Bound States and Generalized Instantons*, hep-th/9803265.

[33] V. Knizhnik, *Analytic fields on Riemann surfaces*, Phys. Lett. **B180** (1986) 247.